

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1397 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6297 of 2022

=====

Randhir Bhai Son of Late Surendra Prasad Singh, Resident of Village- Durga Mandir Middle School, Sultanpur, Post Office - Raspur Patasiya (West), Police Station - Mohiuddin Nagar, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department Government of Bihar, namely Shri Sanjay Agrawal, I.A.S.
2. The Chairman and Managing Director, Bharat Petroleum, Bharat Bhawan, 4 and 6 Currimbhay Road, Ballard Estate, Mumbai - 400001, Mr. G. Krishna Kumar.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Water Resources Department, Samastipur Circle, District- Samastipur, Er. Shashi Ranjan Kumar Pandey, Bihar Engineering Service.
4. The General Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, 12th Floor, E Wing, Maker Towers, Cuff Parade, Mumbai - 400005, Shri Sanjiv Gupta.
5. The General Manager, State Co-ordinator, Bihar State, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, Ashiyana Chamber, 3rd Floor, Exhibition Road, Patna 800001, Mr. Prasad Shiv Shankar.
6. The District Magistrate, Samastipur, District - Samastipur, Shri Yogendra Singh, I.A.S.
7. The Additional Collector, Samastipur, District - Samastipur, Shri Ajay Kumar Tewary, B.A.S.
8. The Executive Engineer, Flood Control, Water Resources Department, Dalsing Sarai, District - Samastipur, Er. Manoj Kumar Choudhary.
9. The Circle Officer, Vidyapati Nagar Circle, District Samastipur, Shri Ajay Kumar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar (AAG 4)
For the BPCL	:	Mr. Sidhhartha Prasad, Advocate
	:	Mr. Om Prakssh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)



4 15-03-2024 District Magistrate, Samastipur is hereby directed to re-visit to the entire matter and proceed to pass detailed speaking order after due consideration of each of the contention raised by the petitioner and communicate the same to the petitioner within a period of three weeks.

2. Accordingly, the present MJC contempt petition stands dropped reserving liberty to the petitioner to file Interlocutory Application for revival of this order in the event of non-compliance.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

