

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37116 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2022 Thana- WEST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- West Champaran

=====

Ranjeet Chaudhary Son of Shree Chaudhary Resident of Village - Sonebarsa,
P.S.- Chiutaha, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Nisha Devi @ Gulaichi Devi Wife of Ranjet Chaudhary D/o - Lalbabu Sahni, Resident of Village - Sonebarsa, Bhuarwa Tola, P.S.- Chiutaha, District - West Champaran, At present residing at Village - Argana Tola, P.S.- Laukariya, District - West Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP.
	Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 26-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the opposite party no.2 and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code .

3. Petitioner, who is husband of opposite party no2., is said to have tortured upon her physically and mentally and ousted her from her matrimonial home in association of his family members over the dowry demand. They also tried to kill her by setting fire on her body after pouring kerosene oil, but anyhow her life was saved by nearby people.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is an innocent person and has committed no offence. Petitioner has neither made any dowry demand nor drove the complainant out of her matrimonial home nor tormented her over the demand of dowry. The real fact is that the complainant left her matrimonial house herself without any coercion. It is further submitted that the petitioner is still ready to keep the complainant with full honour and dignity. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006 (3) PLJR 182.*** He further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the complainant opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection



with Complaint Case No. 28 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. Accordingly, the writ application stands allowed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

