

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13984 of 2013

Prakash Kumar Roy Son of Late Krishnanand Ray Resident of Kali Ashthan,
Aashram Road, P.S. Kehar, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principle Secretary cum Commissioner,
Commercial Tax Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Joint Commissioner, Commercial Tax Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Joint Commissioner (Administration) Commercial Tax Department,
Purnea Division, District – Purnea.
4. The Deputy Commissioner, Commercial Tax, Purnea, Circle, District Purnea

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhakar Singh, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anirban Kundu, SC-24

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 30-09-2024 Heard Mr. Prabhakar Singh, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Anirban Kundu,

learned SC-24 for the State.

2. The petitioner in paragraph no. 1 of the present writ
petition has sought, *inter alia*, following relief(s), which is
reproduced hereinafter:-

*“That this is an application on behalf of the
petitioner above named for issuance of writ/writs in the
nature of mandamus for a direction to the respondent
authorities to appoint him on compassionate ground whose
father was posted as peon in the office of the respondent
No. 4 and died on 17.10.2007 during his service period
living behind his Six Sons and two married daughters, after
which his elder sons (petitioner) applied in prescribed form
on 27.11.2009 for Compassionate appointment in absence
of Bread earner, but his appointment in not being made only
on the ground that Service of his father has not been
Confirmed and it will be Considered after confirmation of*



his service who rendered his service for more than 28 years and died and his one of the son also died after his death in absence of bread and medicine, though services of other, Similar employees has been confirmed in view of order of this Hon'ble Court and for any other relief/reliefs for which petitioner in illegally entitled too.”

3. Considering the statement made in Para-7, 8 & 9 of the supplementary counter affidavit, the respondents are directed to communicate their decision to the petitioner, if already not communicated to him and he has not been taken into service.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

