

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34008 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-683 Year-2023 Thana- JAMUI District- Jamui

Pritam Kumar Singh Son Of Late Ajay Singh Resident of Village- Mahisouri  
P.S- Jamui, Dist- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s  
Versus

The State Of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      11-07-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jamui  
P.S. Case No. 683 of 2023 dated 21.11.2023 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 302, 120B of the I.P.C. and  
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, on 21.11.2023 at around  
8.10 P.M., the informant's father who was an advocate clerk in  
the civil court, Jamui, was at his house, suddenly, one person  
called his father outside the house, and he heard gun shot sound,  
when he came out side of the house, he saw his father lying on



the ground in a pool of blood and one person was fleeing away from the place of occurrence. The informant further saw the co-accused Amit Sinha is standing just outside of his house. He further alleged that prior to the alleged occurrence, on several occasions, the co-accused Amit Sinha threatened his father that he would kill him. The reason behind the occurrence is a land dispute, for which, a title suit is pending against the co-accused Amit Sinha.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The informant is not an eye witness to the alleged occurrence. Although the informant had seen one unknown person and the co-accused Amit Sinha at the place of occurrence who fled away after seeing the informant. It is further submitted that during investigation, the wife of the informant has stated before the police that she has knowledge of two disputes related with her father-in-law (deceased). One is related to murder of the father of the petitioner in which her father-in-law (deceased) was found present at the place of occurrence. There was business dispute between her father-in-law (deceased) and the father of the petitioner. Although due to social pressure, no case



was registered. When the petitioner become major, he was told by someone that his father was killed by the informant's father Abhay Singh (deceased) and he has to take revenge for that. This information was given to the informant's wife by Gautam Singh, relative of the petitioner. The second dispute was with the co-accused Amit Kumar who was seen by the informant at the place of occurrence. The police arrested the petitioner and Sujit Kumar @ Nunu Halwai and on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused Sujit Kumar @ Nunu Halwai, the name of the petitioner has sprung up in the present case. It is further submitted that the said co-accused Rahul Kumar has been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 39381 of 2024 under order dated 04.07.2024. No incriminating article has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 23.11.2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, let the above named petitioner, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



C.J.M., Jamui in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No. 683 of  
2023.

7. The application stands allowed

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Guddu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

