

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8014 of 2016**

=====

Suresh Prasad Singh son of Late Krishna Bibhuti Singh Resident of village -  
Punaura, P.S. Sitamarhi, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Law Secretary, Law Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
7. The Station Head Office, Sitamarhi Police Station - District - Sitamarhi.
8. Sri Ramesh Chandra Srivastava son of not known to the petitioner The Peshkar of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
9. Sri Suman Kumar Mishra son of not known to the petitioner The Then Punaura Out post Incharge under Sitamarhi Police Station , District - Sitamarhi.
10. Sri Tribhuwan Singh son of not known to the petitioner The Then Sub-Inspector of Police, Sitamarhi Police - Station, District - Sitamarhi.
11. Sri Lal Babu Singh son of Late Jagdish Singh Resident of village - Purnarua, P.S. and District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Smt.Namrata Mishra

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      03-10-2024      1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the  
following relief(s):-

*“1(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the  
nature of MANDAMUS, commanding and  
directing the Respondent Authorities to  
compensate the petitioner for illegal  
confinement of the petitioner in Police Custody*



*as well as judicial custody in between 14.10.2012 to 17.10.2012, in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No.791 of 2012, dated 14.10.2012, registered under bailable offence i.e. under Sections 341, 323, 324, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.*

*(II) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, commanding and directing the Respondent Authorities to take suitable departmental action against the erring persons i.e. the Respondent no.8, 9 and 10, for committing wrong and fraud, in connivance with the Respondent no.11.”*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Saurav/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

