

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18814 of 2012

=====

Umesh Baitha S/O Sri Bhanu Baitha R/O Village- Nonaura, P.S.- Ghorasahan,
Distt.- East Champaran, At Present Posted As Block Education Officer,
Madhawapur, Block- Madhawapur, Distt.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors Human Resources Development Department,
Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Sitamarhi
4. The Block Development Officer, Block-Parihar, Distt.-Sitamarhi
5. The Block Education Officer, Parihar, Distt.- Sitamarhi
6. The Head Master, Middle School, Parihar, Sitamarhi
7. The Member, District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority, Sitamarhi
8. Smt. Sunita Kumari D/O Rajendra Nayak Block Teacher, Middle School,
Parihar, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prakash Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2024 Heard Mr. Jai Prakash Verma, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Krishna Kant

Singh, learned counsel for the respondent/s.

2. Petitioner is aggrieved by the observation made
against him by the District Teacher Appointment Appellate
Authority, Sitamarhi which affects his service. Allegation is that
the petitioner has restrained the respondent no.8 vide letter
no.370 dated 28.03.2012 in capacity of Block Education Officer,
Parihar from attending the school. Respondent no.8 had



approached the District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority, Sitamarhi and order dated 16.06.2012 has been passed against the petitioner deducting honorarium amount to be made from the salary of the petitioner after giving him due opportunity of hearing.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to avail the appropriate remedy in accordance with law for the relief, as sought for in the present writ petition.

4. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the the petitioner that he seeks to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law, I don't find to interfere with the relief as prayed for in the present writ petition and accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

