

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17990 of 2012

=====

Gupteshwar Pd. Singh @ Gupteshwar Singh S/O Late Ram Swarup Singh
R/O Village- Karigawn, Police Station- Rajauli, Post- Bahadurpur, District-
Nawadah

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Transport Department,
New Secretariat, Patna
2. The Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Patna, Bihar through the
Managing Director, Virchand Patel Marg, Patna
3. The Managing Director Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Patna,
Bihar
4. The Inquiry Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Patna, Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Parashuram Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P. K. Verma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2024 Heard Mr. Parashuram Singh, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. P. K. Verma, learned

Senior counsel along with Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the respondent/s.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that though in paragraph no.4 of the counter
affidavit it has been admitted that the petitioner is entitled for
Subsistence Allowance for the period of suspension i.e. from
19.07.1995 to 21.05.2001 and an amount of Rs.1,54,948/- has
been paid but due to clerical mistakes, the entire amount has not

been paid, which can very well be considered by the concerned Authority. The petitioner, therefore, seeks to file detailed representation before the Chief Accounts Officer.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner and informations contained in the counter affidavit, the Chief Accounts Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation is directed to take appropriate steps, on the basis of the records and dispose of the representation of the petitioner, after making correct calculation, if at all, he finds any mistake in the earlier calculation or the petitioner is able to point out the mistake in calculation committed by the Chief Accounts Officer, in accordance with the law.

4. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J.)

Ashishsingh/-

U			
---	--	--	--