

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1357 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7657 of 2022

-
1. Sunil Kumar Yadav, Son of Daya Ram Yadav, R/o Village- Govindpur (Kalhapur), P.O.- Bairampur, P.S- Tahbarpur, District- Azamgarh (UP).
 2. Mohan Kumar Sinha, Son of Rajendra Prasad Sinha, Resident of Village- Jagdishpur, P.S- Korma, District- Sheikhpura(Bihar).
 3. Md. Modasseer, Son of Md. Halimuddin, Resident of Village- Asyani, P.S- Jalalgarh, District- Purnea(Bihar).
 4. Rituraj Vasant, Son of Santosh Kumar, Resident of Khetj Bari Khad Dukan Mahua Road Jandaha, P.S- Jandaha, District- Vaishali (Bihar).
 5. Mukesh Kumar, Son of Mahendra Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village- Chakla, P.S- Saur Bazar, District- Saharsa(Bihar).
 6. Ravi Kumar, Son of Shiv Shankar Prasad, Resident of Village and P.O- Chakand Station, District- Gaya (Bihar).
 7. Mukesh Kumar Prasad @ Mukesh Prasad, Son of Ram Sakal Prasad, Resident of Village and P.O.- Barhulya, P.S- Ander, District- Siwan(Bihar).
 8. Vikash Kumar, Son of Vijay Prasad Gupta, Resident of Village and P.S- Govindpur, District- Nawada(Bihar).
 9. Tej Prakash, Son of Surendra Prasad, Resident of Village- Sarwanpur, P.S- Mehendiya, District- Arwal (Bihar).

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Shri. Brajesh Kumar Malhotra, Son of not known to the petitioners, Additional Chief Secretary, land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Shri Radheshyam Sah Son of not known to the petitioners, The Special Secretary to the Government, Revenue and land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Jai Singh, Son of not known to the petitioners, The Director, land Records and Survey, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma Son of not known to the petitioners, The Nodal Officer, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dinu Kumar, Advocate
		Ms. Himja Gautam Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Ms. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 20-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned



AC to AAG-12 for the State.

2. From Annexure ‘A’ to the show cause filed on behalf of opposite party no. 3, it appears that the representation of the petitioners has been considered but the opposite party no. 3 did not find it fit to allow the representation. Reasons have been provided in Annexure ‘A’.

3. In the given circumstance, this Court finds no reason to proceed with this application. It is dismissed leaving it open for the petitioners to seek their remedy against Annexure ‘A’, if any available to them in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

