

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12082 of 2012

=====

Smt.ragni Sinha W/O Nand Kishore Singh R/O Village- Chintamanchak
Dhimoh, P.S.- Harnaut, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary Welfare Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, I.C.D.S., Government Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, Nalanda
6. The District Programme Officer, Nalanda
7. The Block Development Officer, Harnaut, Nalanda
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Harnaut, Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bajarangi Lal, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, AC to AAG 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner outrightly submits
that this writ petition may be disposed of with liberty to the
petitioner to move before the appropriate forum in accordance
with the law as this case is not maintainable in view of the
paragraph no. 4 of the judgment of Division Bench of this Court
rendered in the case of **Neetu Kumari Vs. State of Bihar and
Ors** reported in **2011 (4) PLJR 20** which reads as follows:-

*“4. In our considered view, the post of Anganbari
Sevika is not a post having security of tenure or*



protection under Article 311 of Constitution of India. Considering the very nature of engagement which provides for honorarium, we are not of the view that in case the appellant still feels aggrieved, she may approach the Civil Court for damages. There is nothing at stake in such a scheme other than honorarium. For such contractual engagements the relief of reinstatement is not appropriate and even if there is breach of the scheme or any other principle of law, the claim should ordinarily be permitted, if found good on merits, only for damages.”

3. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to move before the appropriate forum in accordance with the law.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

