

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18513 of 2012

=====

Raj Kumari Wife Of Sri Manoj Kumar Singh Resident Of Village and P.O.-
Nakuni, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur
3. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Rosera Sub-Division, District- Samastipur
5. The Block Development Officer, Hasanpur Block, District- Samastipur
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Hasanpur Block, District- Samastipur
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Nakuni, Hasanpur Block, District- Samastipur
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Nakuni, Hasanpur Block, District- Samastipur
9. Smt. Roshani Kumari Wife Of Mritunjay Kumar Singh Resident Of Village and P.O.- Nakuni, Ward No. 3, P.S.- Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raghavanand, GA-11
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, AC to GA-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2024 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Mishra, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Raghavanand,

learned GA-11 along side Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, learned AC

to GA-11 for the State.

2. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the State informs that selection of the

petitioner was required to be done in accordance with the



guidelines of year 2010 relating to the appointment of 'Aanganbari Sevika', the application with respect to the selection of 'Aanganbari Sevika' for Centre No.097 of Nakuni Panchayat, Hasanpur Block, District- Samastipur was found not satisfactory as the petitioner was closely related to the Panchayat Samiti member. He further submits that otherwise also, the present writ petition has become infructuous, as the selection relates to the year 2011-2012.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission, this Court finds that no consideration is required and, accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

