

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32657 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2023 Thana- SAKRI District- Madhubani

=====

MUNNA KURVAR S/O RAJ KUMAR KURVAR R/O VILLAGE-
RAMPURA, P.S- HARLAKHI, DISTT.- MADHUBANI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kusum Rani

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 26-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with G.R. No. 1134 of 2023 arising out of Sakri P.S. Case No. 135 of 2023 dated 21.07.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272 and 273 of the IPC and 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 9 litres of illicit liquor was recovered from scooty.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is the owner of the



said scooty but the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Local people disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal case as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out. Similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 19.12.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 77058 of 2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani in connection with G.R. No. 1134 of 2023 arising out of Sakri P.S. Case No. 135 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

