

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32847 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2024 Thana- GADHPURA District- Begusarai

Anjesh Yadav son of Madan Yadav Resident of Village- Kaura, P.S.-
Garhpura, Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kanhiya Kishor, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 26-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his/her arrest in a
case in connection with Garhpura P.S. Case No. 18 of 2024
dated 10.03.2024 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 70 litres of illicit
country made liquor, liquor making apparatus and 2000 litres
jawa mahua was recovered from the sugarcane field.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner. The recovery was made



from an open place that was accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has two criminal antecedent and he is on bail in both the cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail vide order dated 20.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 28780 of 2024. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Begusarai in connection with Garhpura P.S. Case No. 18 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

