

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8311 of 2012

Radha Krishna Singh, S/O Late Satya Narian Singh, Resident of Mohalla - Jagdeo Nagar, Bazar Samittee Anaith, P.S.- Nawada Ara, District - Bhojpur Ara

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Meena Plaza, South of Museum, P.S. Kotwali, District Patna, through its Chairman
2. The Chairman, Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Meena Plaza, South of Museum, P.S. Kotwali, District - Patna
3. The Board of Directors, Madhya Bihar Gramin Bank, Meena Plaza, South of Museum, P.S.- Kotwali, District- Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Nil Kamal, Advocate Ms. Kumari Priyanka, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-02-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank.

2. Learned counsel for the Bank has filed a counter affidavit stating therein that during the pendency of the writ petition the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instruction from the petitioner in this regard.

4. Learned counsel for the Bank submits that as per Circular No. 22/2011 dated 29.12.2011, the case of the petitioner for consideration of promotion was considered and at the relevant time the departmental proceeding was pending against the petitioner so his result was kept under sealed cover. The said



departmental proceeding against the petitioner was concluded vide order dated 19.02.2014, thereafter the case of the petitioner was again considered by the Bank and he has accepted the same after furnishing a conditional consent dated 28.05.2014 and he has also joined the promotional post. It appears that the reliefs prayed for in the writ petition has been granted by the Bank and from bare perusal of the relief portion it appears that the petitioner is seeking quashing of Bani's HRD Circular No. 22/2011 dated 29.12.2011 issued by the Chairman of the respondent-Bank without making the Union of India and NABARD as necessary party in the writ petition.

5. In view of the aforesaid, it appears that the petitioner has been granted promotion and he has accepted the same after giving the consent letter dated 28.05.2014 to the Bank.

6. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

Rajesh/-

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.02.2024
Transmission Date	

