

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33508 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2023 Thana- MARANCHI District- Patna

Rahul Kumar SON OF ANANDI NISHAD RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
JANJEERA, P.S.-PACHMAHLA O.P, DIST-PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Matloob Rab

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Maranchi (Pachmahla O.P.) P.S. Case No.152 of 2023 registered
for the offence under Sections 452, 341, 307, 384, 504, 506/34
of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 27 and 35
of the Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is to have
made four round fire, while demanding extortion money.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been



implicated in the present case. It is submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and he is in custody since 20.11.2023.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. In view of the submissions, as made above, as it appears from perusal of FIR, seizure list and impugned order that allegation against this petitioner is to have made four round firing and on next day a country made pistol was recovered from the possession of this petitioner and other co-accused, namely, Kundan Kumar granted bail by this Court as only one live cartridge has been recovered from his possession, where, allegation against this petitioner is very serious and different from other co-accused persons, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

8. Learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of 06 (six) months from the date of order and if the trial could not be concluded within specified period, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew the prayer for bail of



the petitioner.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

