

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6827 of 2012

=====

SMT.ASHA SINHA W/O Late Chandra Bhushan Pd. Sinha R/O Kanhal Tola
Sohsarai, Biharsharif, P.S.- Sohsarai, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Chief General Manager, State State Bank Of India Head Office, Mumbai
2. The Managing Director State Bank Of India, Patna
3. The Chief Manager State Bank Of India, Zonal Office, Region-Iii, J.C. Road, Patna-800001
4. The Assistant General Manager Region-Iii, State Bank Of India, Zonal Office, Patna
5. The Chief Manager State Bank Of India, Bazar Branch, Biharsharif, District- Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Bank	:	Mr. Mukund Jee, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-04-2024

Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Mukund Jee, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the State Bank of India.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for
payment of ex-gratia lumpsum amount in lieu of appointment of
the present appointee.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the husband of the petitioner who died on 30.05.2004 when he
was working as an Assistant Manager in State Bank of India at



Biharsharif Bazar Branch, Nalanda.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after death of the husband, the petitioner and her younger son has filed the application for her compassionate appointment and as per circular of the Bank the case of the petitioner was not considered by the Bank. Thereafter, the petitioner approached the Bank for ex-gratia payment on 09.11.2010.

5. Learned counsel for the State Bank of India has filed a counter affidavit annexing the *Annexure-A* of the counter affidavit which suggests that the Bank has already paid up the ex-gratia payment to the petitioner in the year 2013 itself. Therefore, the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed.

6. In view of the aforesaid, it appears that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

ajay/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.04.2024
Transmission Date	NA

