

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33596 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3104 Year-2022 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

=====

Surendra Singh SON OF RAJDEO SINGH VILLAGE - CHOWMUKHA PS-
NAVIGANJ, DIST- SIWAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar BIHAR
2. Sonu Kumari DAUGHTER OF LATE HEM THAKUR VILLAGE - PAKDI
PS- MASHRAK DIST- SARAN

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandra Mohan Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 3104 of 2022, dated 29.09.2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 379, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant mentally and physically and ousted her from the matrimonial home due to non-fulfillment of demand of a four wheeler vehicle as dowry.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***“Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.”*** Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of ***Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351 and Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr.*** Passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023, arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond



of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Complaint Case No. 3104 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

