

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12411 of 2021

1. Ashok Kumar S/o Sri Kamal Kishor Yadav Resident at and P.O.- Narainpur, Police Station- Nauhatta, District- Saharsa.
2. Suryadeo Narayan Son of Satya Narayan Mehta Resident of Village- Andauli, Police Station- Saur Bazar, District- Saharsa.
3. Anmol Kumar Son of Sri Jay Prakash Yadav Resident of Village and Post- Daharia, Police Station- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.
4. Vinit Kumar Bimal Son of Sri Shashi Nath Mishra Resident of Village and Post- Kahara Madanpur, Police Station- Saur Bazar, District- Saharsa.
5. Ashwani Kumar Son of Late Panchanan Yadav Resident of Village- Salkhua, Ward No.4, Police Station- Salkhua, District- Saharsa.
6. Gaurang Mahaprabhu Son of Late Anup Lal Yadav Resident of Village- Rangakol, Police Station- Falka, District- Katihar.
7. Kaushal Kishor Ranjan Son of Vishundeo Singh Resident of Village- Goshpur, Police Station- Dalsinghsarai, District- Samastipur.
8. Rajesh Kumar Son of Late Surya Narayan Yadav Resident of Village- Tulsia, Police Station- Bihariganj, District- Madhepura.
9. Arun Kumar Son of Baidyanath Prasad Yadav Resident of Ward No. 16 Parmanpur Bhatranha, Police Station- Ghailath, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes Welfare Department, Patna.
2. The Director, Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Welfare Officer, Madhepura.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Purnea.
6. The District Welfare Officer, Madhubani.
7. The District Welfare Officer, Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt.Kumari Amrita (GP3)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI
ORAL ORDER

7 10-12-2024

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the



petitioners that petitioners do not want to proceed with the instant writ petition and seek permission to withdraw this writ petition.

2. Permission is granted.

3. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J)

uttam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

