

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31505 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2024 Thana- KHAJANCHI HAT District- Purnia

Praveen Kumar Verma Son of Umesh Prasad Verma R/O- Bhullupara,
Postmartum Road, P.S.-K-Hat, Dist.- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K.Agrawal (Sr.Adv.)
		Mr. Kumar Rajdeep, Ms. Diksha Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Rajiv Nayan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2024 Heard Mr. N.K.Agrawal, learned senior counsel for
the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 406, 420, 387, 379, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per F.I.R., the informant was running a medical shop in the house of father of petitioner for the last 21 years on rent for Rs. 4,000/- per month and on 27.12.2023, he suddenly fell ill and became unable to move, so his shop was closed. In the meantime, the informant got an information that petitioner and his father have unlocked his shop, taken away medicine, computer etc. and locked his shop by two new lock and when he enquired about the same, the petitioner and his father became



angry and started abusing him and also demanded Rs. 5,00,000/-, as *Rangdari* and threatened him that they would hand over the said shop to another person by a fresh agreement.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact, petitioner's father owns a building, in which, there are several shops, given on rent and this is the only source of his family income. Out of which, one of the shop was given to the informant on a monthly rental of Rs. 4,000/- per month for a period of 5 years. He further submits that besides other due, the informant even did not pay the electricity bills regularly and when the father of petitioner sent a registered notice in this regard through his advocate to the informant on 22.02.2023, the informant even refused to receive said notice and has lodged this false and concocted case. He next submits that no such demand of Rs. 5,00,000/-, as *Rangdari*, was made by petitioner and his father. Basically, the dispute arises out of landlord and tenant relation. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail



on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Purnia in connection with A.B.P. No. 327 of 2024, arising out of K.Hat P.S. Case No. 54 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

