

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33800 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2024 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

Manohar Yadav @ Amit Kumar @ Amit Yadav Son Of Surendra Yadav
Resident Of Village- Telkap, P.S.- Rohtas, Dist- Rohtas (BIHAR)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Prakash Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-05-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 15 litres of liquor from a line kiln and 65 litres of liquor from room no.273 of PPCL quarter. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioner and he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom he is on an inimical term. It is further submitted that it absolutely does not stand to reason that if chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the petitioner in the



occurrence then why he did not inform the police, prior to institution of the instant F.I.R., which casts an aspersion on the case of prosecution.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No.58/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

