

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31897 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2024 Thana- BALIYA District- Begusarai

Samina Khatoon @ Shamina Khatoon, Wife Of Md. Farukh @ Md. Faruque
@ Md. Faruk Resident Of Village- Dariyapur, Ward No. 01, (DARIYAPUR,
Hanspur), P.S.- Nayagaon, Dist- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sandip Kumar Gautam
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rana Randhir Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 230-04-20241. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 30(a) and 32(2) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
allegation is of recovery of 35.640 litres of liquor from a
tractor.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he



came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized tractor. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing a crime and thus, would create evidence against himself and hence, would get implicated. It is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that his driver would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Judge-II, Begusarai in connection with Ballia (Baliya) P. S. Case No.86 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court



before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail application shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

