

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31530 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2022 Thana- MANPUR District- West Champaran

=====

MANOJ KUMAR YADAV @ LORIK YADAV Son of Ramchandra Yadav
Resident of Village Bairiya, Ward No. 13, PS-Sahodara, dist-West
Champaran. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. Ranjeet Yadav Son of Bharat Yadav Resident of Village-Bairiya, PS-
Sahodara, Dist-West Champaran, Bettiah
- Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-12-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned APP for the State.

2. This application has been filed on behalf of the
petitioner for quashing of the F.I.R., bearing Manpur P.S. Case
No. 98 of 2022 dated 09.06.2022 for the offences punishable
under Section 323, 341, 324, 307, 325, 379 and 120B of the
Indian Penal Code registered against the petitioner.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 08.06.2022 at about
13:30 hours the petitioner and the co-accused persons came and
started ploughing the Bhatuwa land pertaining Khata No. 47
Khesra 113, 114, 36 which is under the possession of the
informant. On being opposed by the informant, all the accused
persons started assaulting him with lathi causing head injury.
Thereafter, the cousin and uncle of the informant came to rescue
him then all the accused person started assaulting them on their



head and neck causing grievous injuries. It is further alleged that all the accused person took away Rs. 15,000/- from the pocket of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case on the baseless ground. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. It is further submitted that both the parties are co-villagers and due to dispute the alleged occurrence has taken place. The allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the quashing application of the petitioner by submitting that the allegations in the F.I.R. prima facie constitute offences against the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court do not find any merit in the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present quashing application is hereby dismissed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

