

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7757 of 2023

Dr. Anil Kumar Son of Shri Deo Nandan Choudhary, R/o- Nariyar Road,
Naya Bazar, Ward No.- 2, Nagar Parisad, District- Saharsa (Bihar)- 852201
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura, through its Registrar
 2. The Vice-Chancellor, B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura
 3. The Registrar, B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura
 4. The Financial Advisor, B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura
 5. The Finance Officer, B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura
 6. The Principal, S.N.S.R.K.S. College, Saharsa, District- Saharsa
 7. The Principal, Ramesh Jha Mahila College, Saharsa, District- Saharsa
 8. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
 9. The Director, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
- Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Purushottam Kumar Jha Mr.Avanindra Kumar Jha Mr. Ram Naresh Jha
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Kameshwar Kumar (Gp 17)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondent/State.

2. This writ petition has been filed for following
reliefs:-

“(i) To ensure the payment of petitioner’s
arrears of salary claim amounting to Rs.
36,23,338/- (Annexure 13 & 14) to the petitioner
alongwith interest thereupon at least 12% per
annum.

(ii) To hold and declare that the action of the
authorities concerned of the respondent University
in not considering the grievances of the petitioner,
so raised in his representations dated 25.03.2022,
25.08.2022 and 12.11.2022 (Annexure 15, 16 &
17) is highly illegal, arbitrary, malafide, malicious



and unsustainable in the eye of law, which is tantamounting to the violation of Article 14 & 21 of the Constitution of India.

(iii) To make the payment of suitable amount of compensation (Rs. 10 lacs) to the petitioner for causing unnecessary harassment to the petitioner.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner requests for disposal of the writ petition with a direction to Respondent no. 2 to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner, vide Annexures 15, 16 & 17.

4. Considering the nature of grievance, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file fresh representation before the concerned respondent i.e. Respondent no. 2 within a period of six weeks from today.

5. If such application is filed within stipulated time, the concerned respondent(s) shall examine the same and pass speaking order after hearing the parties in accordance with law within a period of six months from the date of filing of such representation.

6. With above observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U			
---	--	--	--

