

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7916 of 2022**

=====

Manohar Ram S/o Sri Sukhdeo Ram Resident of Vilalge-Manikpur, P.S.-  
Garhpura, District-Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Project Director, Bhiar Mahadalit Vikas Mishan, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
3. The District Welfare Officer, Begusarai
4. The District Project Officer (Mahadalit Miishan)-Cum-Nodal Officer, Begusarai.
5. The Chairman-Cum-Sub-Divisional Officer, Selection Committee of Vikas Mitra, Bakhri, Begusarai.
6. The Sub-Divisional Welfare Officer, Bakhri, Begusarai.
7. The Block Development Officer, Garhpura, Begusarai
8. The Block Welfare Officer, Garhpura, Begusarai
9. Pankaj Ram S/o Sri Uttam Ram Resident of Village-Dunhi, P.S. Garhpura, District-Begusarai

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prashant Pratap (Gp2)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      03-01-2024                      The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For Issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ for quashing of the order as contained in Memo No. 53 dated 16.01.2021 issued by the District Magistrate, Begusarai, whereby and whereunder the representation of the petitioner has been rejected.



(ii). For Issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ for cancellation of the Niyojan Patra as contained in Memo No. 368 dated 26.03.2010 issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Bakhri, whereby the private respondent No. 9 was selected as Vikas Mitra.

(iii). For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ commanding the respondents to select the petitioner on the post of Vikas Mitra and further be pleased to issue Niyojan Patra in favour of the petitioner as he has got higher marks than other applicants.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Respondents authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Ajay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

