

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8282 of 2024

Md. Irfan Son of Md. Akhtar Hussain, Resident of Village- Bhagwatipur Mantola, P.S.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Madarsa Education Board Apex Tower, Haroon Nagar Colony, Sector-2, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna through its Secretary.
3. The Chairman of Bihar State Madarsa Education Board Apex Tower, Haroon Nagar Colony, Sector-2, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.
4. The Secretary Bihar State Madarsa Education Board, Apex Tower, Haroon Nagar Colony, Sector-2, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna through its Secretary.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
6. The District Education Officer Madhubani.
7. The Block Education Officer Pandaul, District- Madhubani.
8. Md. Jilani, Secretary of the Madarsa Mohammadia Arabia, Bhagwatipur Mantola, P.S.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.
9. The Head Maulvi of the Madarsa Mohammadia Arabia Bhagwatipur Mantola, P.S.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.
10. Mahmood Ali Reza Son of Md. Ali Imam, Assistant Maulvi (Alim) in Madarsa Mohammadia Arabia, Bhagwatipur Mantola, P.S.- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Md. Anisur Rahman, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Ajay, GA-5
For Madarsa Board	:	Mr.Shahzad Hassan Khan, Advocate
		Mr.Md.Aslam Ansari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-07-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

*“1(A) For issuance of appropriate writ/ order
or direction commanding the Respondents to*



initiate a proceeding for removing the respondent no. 10 from his post as he has been wrongly continuing on the post of Assistant Maulvi (Alim) in Madarsa Mohammadia Arabia Bhagwatipur Mantola P.S. Pandaul, District- Madhubani (Madarsa No. 172). Respondent no.10 from his post and also to realize/recover all the amount from the respondent no. 10 paid in the shape of salary to him and also to take legal action against the respondent no. 10 for the wrong done by him.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

