

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30639 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-397 Year-2023 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

Salman Ansari @ Salman SON OF ISRAIL MIAN @ IJRAIL MIAN @
MD.IZARAIL MIYA RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- SATIGHAT, P.S.- JHAJHA
, DISTRICT - JAMUI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madhura Nand Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 397 of 2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 307,
504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his son had gone to see a wrestling match at *Akhara*
when all of a sudden, Sikandar came in a drunken condition and
assaulted his son, thereafter Niyhzuddin Ansari assaulted him
with *lathi* on his head with an intention to kill him, and next
petitioner came armed with *Bhujali* (dagger) and assaulted him



causing injury.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from perusal of injury report, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured on head is grievous and the injury on account of assault by dagger is simple in nature.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the specific allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the injured by *Bhujali* (dagger), which is a sharp-edged weapon, the injury may be simple, but then the injury report also records cut on forehead. It is also submitted that all the accused persons are alleged to have assaulted the son of the informant brutally.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

