

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35891 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2022 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

=====

Ajay Kumar Ram (Male), aged 28 years, S/O Bipat Ram, R/O Village-
Jatiyahi, P.O- Asrahi, P.S- Kewati, Distt.- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Nelam Kumari, D/O Bholi Ram, W/O Ajay Kumar Ram, R/O Village-
Akuli, P.S- Arer, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

7 09-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the opposite party no. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with C.R. Case No. 193 of 2022 dated 12.04.2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 323, 341, 379, 498A, 504,
506 and 509 of the I.P.C. in which cognizance has been taken under
Section 498A of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-
accused persons are alleged to have tortured the complainant
mentally and physically due to non-fulfilment of demand of a
Motorcycle and T.V. as dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that



the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the complainant and he has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner is ready to keep the complainant as his wife with full dignity and honour as stated in paragraph no. 9 of the bail petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgments of this Court in the case of “*Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in 2006(3) *PLJR 182*” and in the case of *Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another* (2022) 10 SCR 351 and *Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr.* passed in *Criminal Appeal No (s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023*. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned, Benipatti, Madhubani in connection with C.R. Case No. 193 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further condition:-

(I) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

