

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6829 of 2024

=====

Gokul Singh Son of Alakhdev Singh Resident of Village- Teldiha, Police Station- Madanpur, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. Th District Land Acquisition Officer, Aurangabad
6. The Competent Authority-cum-Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Aurangabad
7. The Circle Officer, Madanpur, Aurangabad
8. The Union of India Through Additional Secretary, Ministry and Road Transport and Highways, Government of India, New Delhi.
9. The National Highways Authority of India, Through its Chairman.
10. The Chairman, National Highway Authority of India, New Delhi.
11. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Project Implementation Unit, Sasaram, Village and Post Officer- Mor Sarai (Near Satyam Service Centre), District- Rohtas, Pin Code- 821113.
12. The D.G.M. (Tech.) Project Director, Project Implementation Unit, Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Diwakar Yadav, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Kamleshwar Kumar, GP-17
For UOI	:	Dr.Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
For NHAI	:	Mr.Saket Kr. Mishra, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-07-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ / order / direction declaring the actions of the respondents demolishing only the temple



known as Maharudra Mahadev Sankat Mochan Mandir Shivganj (Madanpur) situated in Mauza- Dadhapi (Shivganj), Khata No. 486, Plot No. 1585, 1586 side by G.T. Road {N.H. (2)(10)} Block-Madanpur, District Aurangabad, State Bihar illegal, arbitrary and without taking process of law for which the respondents are responsible to construct the temple.

(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ / order / direction that demolition of such more than hundred year old temple by the respondents without following rule of law in this respect is illegal and the local peoples having faith to the temple should be restore their faith by construction of the temple.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Aurangabad for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

