

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25382 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1484 Year-2017 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

=====

AJAY KUMAR SINGH @ AJAY SINGH S/o Kauleshwar Singh, R/o Vill.-
Sakddi, P.S.- Koilwar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Of Bihar
2. Chaneshwar Rai S/o Late Dhanlal Rai, R/o Vill.- Songhatta , P.S.- Koilwar ,
District- Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present complaint
case. It is next submitted that two other cases were also
instituted against this petitioner in which notices have been
issued. It is thus submitted that in the present case also notices
be issued on the O.P. No. 2 as there is no specific allegation
against the petitioner in the complaint of committing an offence
under Section 406 and 420 of the IPC.

3. The learned APP for the State, Mr. Brajendra Nath
Pandey, vehemently rebuts the submission of the learned



counsel for the petitioner and submits that the cases in which notices were issued, does not have any parity with the allegation as alleged in the present complaint case, it is further submitted that each case has to be decided based on the allegation alleged in the complaint or an FIR, it is also submitted that the complainant in the present case alleges that his land was sold and suspects the hand of the petitioner in the said transaction. It is next submitted that the O.P. No. 2 herein obtained the sale deed of the land and came to know that even the photographs of the seller and the identifier were different.

4. Considering the submission made by the learned APP for the State, the court is not inclined to entertain the quashing application.

5. Accordingly, the present quashing application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

