

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34461 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2024 Thana- SHIVSAGAR District- Rohtas

1. Jitendra Paswan @ Jitendra Kumar Ranjan S/O Ram Sihasan Paswan R/O Village- Chandva, P.S- Shivsagar, Distt.- Rohtas.
2. Vikram Paswan S/O Bhola Paswan R/O Village- Chandva, P.S- Shivsagar, Distt.- Rohtas.
3. Guddu Paswan S/O Bhola Paswan R/O Village- Chandva, P.S- Shivsagar, Distt.- Rohtas.
4. Bhola Paswan S/O Late Visheshwar Paswan R/O Village- Chandva, P.S- Shivsagar, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin
	Mr. Vijay Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-08-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for offence under Sections 341, 323, 326, 379, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per FIR, on 09.02.2024 at 8:00 AM, on the order of District Magistrate, the officials of MANREGA alongwith some laborers came at the spot for digging pit, in the meantime,



these petitioners reached there armed with lathi, danda and Gadasa and began to abuse the informant and attacked upon his father with lathi-danda. Petitioner no. 1 attacked on his father's head with danda due to which his right hand fractured. Petitioner no. 2 attacked with danda and fractured his father's right elbow due to which he fell down. Petitioner nos. 3 & 4 assaulted his father with danda in his both legs. Petitioner no. 1 also snatched a mobile of Vivo company from informant's pocket.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that due to land dispute, the alleged occurrence of Maarpeet took place, in which, both sides sustained injury. There is case and counter case. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for informant vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the informant submits that these petitioners not only assaulted father of informant and other family members but also caused hindrance in discharge of official duties of the officers, who had come pursuant to direction of the District Magistrate for doing work under MANREGA.



6. Considering the nature of accusation, the prayer
for anticipatory bail of petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

