

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29799 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2024 Thana- MALSALAMI District- Patna

Rohit Raj @ Appu Son of Pappu Kumar R/O- Simli Pandriva, Shakha Gali
Near Devi Asthan, P.S.- Malsalami, Distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nityanand Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 3 litres of liquor from a scooty. It is next submitted
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the
owner of the scooty and he came to be implicated based on
confessional statement of Pratik in police custody, which does
not have any evidentiary value, when petitioner admittedly is a
person with clean antecedent.



4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Malsalami P.S. Case No.147/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

