

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30966 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1096 Year-2022 Thana- BARACHATTI District- Gaya

Sanjay Mandal @ Sanjay Manjhi Son of Late Naresh Mandal Resident of
Village - Sukhuya, P.S.- Barachatti, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Javed Jafar Khan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ganesh Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 19-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sessions Trial No. 428/2023, 1185/2023 arising out of
Barachatti P.S. Case No. 1096/2022 lodged on 29.11.2022 under
Sections 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prayer for bail of the petitioner has earlier been
rejected vide order dated 07.06.2023 passed in Criminal
Miscellaneous No. 28761 of 2023 with a direction to the trial
Court to expedite the trial and concluded the same within nine
months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner submits that on earlier occasion, a report with regard
to the present stage of the case has been called for.



5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. In pursuant to the order dated 28.05.2024, a report has been received from the Additional District and Sessions Judge-1, Sherghati, Gaya indicating therein that out of six charge-sheeted witnesses only one witness has been examined.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Sessions Trial No. 428/2023, 1185 of 2023 arising out of Barachatti P.S. Case No. 1096/2022, pending before the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Sherghati, Gaya is hereby rejected.

9. The trial Court is directed to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of nine months. It is made clear that if the trial shall not be concluded within nine months, then the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

