

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1148 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22790 of 2019

=====

Dhrambir Kumar Son of Ram Krishna Prasad, Resident of Village- Sumka,
P.O. Amraura, P.S. Tharthari, District- Nalanda (Biharsharif).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Employees State Insurance Corporation, through the Director General, namely Rajendra Kumar, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Panchdeep Bhawan, CIG Road, New Delhi- 110002.
2. The Joint Director (Recruitment), namely Sri Vimal Rawat, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Panchdeep Bhawan, CIG Road, New Delhi- 110002.
3. The Additional Commissioner and the Regional Director, namely Gautam Kumar, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Office, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad (Gujrat)- 380014.
4. The Regional Director, namely Gautam Kumar, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Officer, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad (Gujrat)- 380014.
5. The Deputy Director, namely Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Officer, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad (Gujrat)- 380014.
6. The Joint Director I/C, namely Sahil Agrawal, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Sub- Regional Office, ESI Corporation, Vadodara (Gujrat) 395001.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Akash Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sudhir Kumar Bijpuria, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 09-02-2024

The present MJC is filed for non-compliance of the
order dated 06.02.2023 passed in CWJC No. 22790 of 2019.



2. Multiple directions have been issued to the concerned respondent. Insofar as monetary benefits are concerned, it has been paid on 07.02.2024. The petitioner is disputing quantum of amount. If he is disputing the quantum of amount with reference to monetary benefits that cannot be examined in the contempt of court proceedings.

3. Accordingly, the present MJC No. 1148 of 2023 stands dropped. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority in respect of any miscalculation of monetary benefits. If such representation is submitted the concerned authority is hereby directed to examine and appropriately communicate the decision as to whether difference of amount as claimed by the petitioner is permitted or not?

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.02.2024
Transmission Date	NA

