

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35097 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2023 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

1. Sunil Kumar SON OF DAYA NAND SINGH
  2. SHANKAR SINGH SON OF GANI SINGH
  3. RANJAN KUMAR @ RANJAN KUMAR SINGH SON OF SHANKAR SINGH
  4. NIRAJ KUMAR SON OF SHANKAR SINGH
  5. KAPURI SINGH @ DHARMU KUMAR @ DHARMU SINGH SON OF GAURI SINGH
  6. JITENDRA KUMAR @ JITENDRA SINGH SON OF HEM LAL SINGH
  7. SATYENDRA KUMAR @ SATYENDRA SINGH SON OF HEM LAL SINGH
  8. NARESH SINGH @ NARESH MAHTO SON OF BANARSI SINGH
  9. MAHESH SINGH @ MAHESH KUMAR SON OF BANARSI SINGH
  10. GHANTI MANJHI SON OF LATE RAM SWEAK SINGH
  11. JODHA SINGH SON OF LATE SHEOPUJAN SINGH
  12. NITISH KUMAR SON OF PALI SINGH
  13. SANJAY KUMAR @ SUNNY KUMAR SON OF GOPAL SINGH
  14. ARVIND KUMAR @ ARVIND KUMARA SON OF KAPURI SINGH
  15. KUNDAN @ KUNDAN KUMAR SON OF KAPURI SINGH
- ALL ARE RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- SABALPUR CHAIN TOLA, PS- SONEPUR, DIST- SARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                            |   |                                   |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s       | : | Ms. Shayama Rani, Advocate        |
|                            |   | Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s : |   | Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, APP         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 3      20-07-2024      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, Ms. Shayama Rani as well as learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their



arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 497, 354(B), 379, 147, 148, 149, 441, 323, 427, 504, 506 of the IPC and 27 of the Arms Act in connection with Sonepur P.S. Case No.223 of 2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case with general and omnibus allegation.

4. It is next submitted that the cases have been instituted against the petitioners from the side of the informant. It is also submitted that even from the side of the petitioners cases have been instituted against the side of the informant. It is submitted that since the informant had encroached the public road as such the same was objected by the petitioners and their side, for which cases have been instituted by both the sides against each other. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegations are general and omnibus in nature and there is no specific allegation of assault alleged against the petitioners. It is further submitted that allegation of snatching money is ornamental.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000 /- (Rupees Ten Thousand ) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra in connection with Sonapur P.S. Case No.223 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Prakash Narayan

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

