

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29375 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2022 Thana- BHEJA District- Madhubani

=====

Kashi Ram Yadav Son of Phulchand Yadav Resident of Village- D-272,
Krishna Park, Devli Road, Khanpur, P.S- Tigri, Dist- South Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Baleshwar Kamat, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 272, 273, 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under
Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is
of recovery of 375 ml of liquor from a Santro Car.

4. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested
from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious



possession and he came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized vehicle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Jairam Mahto would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged who was also apprehended from the spot.

5. The learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, Excise Act, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Bheja P.S. Case No.68 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial court before



accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

