

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7298 of 2022

=====

Sugandhi Devi W/o Bikau Paswan R/o Village- Bagahi, Dusadh Tola, Police Station- Jogapatti, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Dept. of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna-15.
2. The Deputy Director, Welfare Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Magistrate, West Champaran at Bettiah.
4. The Dist. Program Officer (I.C.D.S.), West Champaran at Bettiah.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Jogapatti, West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Kumar Shrivastva, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.S.K. Mandal, SC-3
Mr.Arjun Prasad, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-03-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.a) For issuance of a writ/writs in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 5.2.15, passed in service appeal case no. 345/2014, by resp. no. 2 (as contained in Ann-3), whereby and whereunder the resp. no. 2 has affirmed the order dated 20.4.14, passed in Case No.28/2014, by resp. no. 4, as contained in Ann- 2, by which, the resp. no. 2 has terminated the service of petitioner from the post of Sevika of Anganwadi Centre no. 153, situated at village Bagahi, Dusadh Tola, P.O- Bagahi, P.S. Jogapatti, Dist.- West Champaran.

b) The petitioner further prays for issuance of an



writ/ or writs, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 20.9.2014, passed in case no.28/2014, passed by the resp. no.4 (as contained in Ann-2) by which, the resp. no.4 has passed the order of termination of the petitioner from the aforesaid post by passing the illegal and over reaching order.

c) For issuance of an appropriate writ /or writs, order / or orders, direction /or directions, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-instant the petitioner on the said post with all consequential benefit(s).”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

