

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29184 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-263 Year-2022 Thana- UDWANTNAGAR District- Bhojpur

Sohan Yadav Son of Bansi Yadav Resident of village- Sarathuan, P.S.-
Udawanagar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 20-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection
with Udwanagar P.S. Case No. 263 of 2022 dated 18.06.2022
registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 302,
201 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Mr. Shiv Prasad Gupta, learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail
since 19.06.2022 and his trial is at initial stage and two co-
accused persons namely, Nathuni Yadav and Manoj Yadav have
already been granted bail by this court and co-ordinate bench



of this court vide orders dated 01.08.2023 and 14.09.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 47637 of 2023 and Cr. Misc. No. 58378 of 2023 respectively. It is further submitted that the informant has compromised with this petitioner and in this regard Annexure - 3 is relevant, in fact, the petitioner's wife died of heart attack and thereafter her dead body was cremated in the presence of informant and others.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

5. Considering the seriousness of the allegation which relates to murder of the victim who happened to be the wife of the petitioner and allegedly this petitioner not only killed his wife but also burned her dead body and as per the investigating officer from the place where the petitioner burned the dead body, a gallon and ashes of the burned body were found, in my view, it is not a fit case for bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

6. Considering the petitioner's custody period the trial court is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and make all endeavours to conclude his trial in the next one year. Petitioner may renew his bail prayer after the said period, if his trial is not concluded in the above-mentioned period.



7. Petitioner may also renew his bail prayer after the examination of the material prosecution witnesses.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

