

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6357 of 2023

-
-
1. Guddi Kumari Daughter of Sri Santosh Kumar Choubey, Daughter of Sri Santosh Kumar Choubey, Resident of Village Khodipakar, P.S. Harsiddhi, District East Champaran.
 2. Chhema Kumari Daughter of Sri Santosh Kumar Choubey, Resident of Village Khodipakar, P.S. Harsiddhi, District East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Labour Resource Department, Government of Bihar Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Bihar Kaushal Vikas Mission, Labour Resource Department, Government of Bihar Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, East Champaran.
4. The Civil Surgeon, East Champaran.
5. The District Employment Officer, East Champaran.
6. The District Skill Manager, East Champaran.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Areraj, District East Champaran.
8. The Medical Officer, Sub Divisional Health Centre, Areraj, East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Anil Kr. Singh (Gp26)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(i) For direction to the respondent authorities to allow the petitioners to continue to discharge their duties on posts of General Duty Assistant at Primary Health Centre, Areraj, East Champaran as they have been selected and given On Job Training under Prime Minister Skill Development Programme



during the pandemic period of COVID-19,
(ii) For holding that the action of respondent authorities in stopping the petitioners from discharging their duties at Primary Health Centre, Areraj, East Champaran without any formal termination order is illegal and also in violation of principle of natural justice, as no opportunity of hearing has been given to the petitioners,
(iii) For directing the respondent authorities to consider the case of petitioners for granting adequate weightage in fresh appointment.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of their subsisting grievances, if any. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

