

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28640 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2024 Thana- ISUAPUR District- Saran

Vikash Sah son of Nand Kishor Sah Village- Mahuli Ps- Isuapur Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabindra Tiwary, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      19-07-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Isuapur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2024 dated 23.01.2024 of the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act 2018.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 397.080 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered near the house of one *Samshul Ansari*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has two criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the local chaukidar. The petitioner has no concern



with the alleged recovery. The recovery has been made from an open place which is accessible to anyone. Similarly situated co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by the co-ordinate bench of this court *vide* order dated 29.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 20327 of 2024. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Chapra in connection with Isuapur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2024 subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. This application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Jyoti/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

