

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29758 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-49 Year-2024 Thana- SAMASTIPUR District- Samastipur

Shrawan Sah @ Shravan Sah @ Shravan Kumar Son Of Radheshyam Sah
Resident Of Village - Gudari Bazar, P.S. - Samastipur Town, District -
Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bishweshwar Ram, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Samastipur Town P.S. Case No. 49 of 2024, registered on 14.02.2024 for the offences under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act (Amendment), 2022.

3. As per prosecution case, police received secret information about co-accused Ranjit Kumar Sah selling illicit liquor from his house. A raid was conducted and co-accused was apprehended. Recovery of 180 ml India made foreign liquor was made. The apprehended co-accused named this petitioner as the person who had been supplying him illicit liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the person or possession of the petitioner, who has been named in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused. The petitioner has been made accused in this case because of highhandedness of the police though the petitioner earns his livelihood by legal means. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is accused in seven other cases and except for one he is on bail in all such cases.

5. Learned APP opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that the petitioner is having long criminal history and appears to be habitual offender and he is accused in altogether seven cases of similar nature for dealing in illicit liquor.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances, I do not think it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail and hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

