

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7018 of 2022

=====

Sri Nivash @ Shree Nivash Son of Late Subhash Prasad Singh Resident of
Village- Lodipur, P.S.- Bind, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Food Corporation of India through its Chairman cum Managing Director 16-20 Barakhambha Lane New Delhi.
2. The Chairman Cum Managing Director, Food Corporation of India 16-20 Barakhamba Lane New Delhi.
3. The Executive Director cum Disciplinary Authority (East Zone) Food Corporation of India 10-A Middleton Row Kolkata- 71
4. The General Manager (Region) Food Corporation of India Regional Office Arunachal Bhawan 3rd and 4th Floor Exhibition Road, Patna.
5. The Asstt. General Manager (Vig.) Food Corporation of India Regional Office Arunachal Bhawan 3rd and 4th Floor Exhibition Road, Patna.
6. The Divisional Manager, Food Corporation of India Regional Office Arunachal Bhawan 3rd and 4th Floor Exhibition Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar No1
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhakar Tekriwal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief:-

“1. That this application is being filed for issuance of writ in nature of certiorari for quashing of letter dated 07. 03.2022 so far the petitioner is concerned, whereby the candidature of wail list candidates have been cancelled. The name of the petitioner stands at serial 82.

For further issuance of writ in nature of Mandamus directing to the respondent concerned to appoint the petitioner in response to



Recruitment Notice no.-01/2019 on the post of Cat-III as the documents were verified and found complete. And the reason for cancellation as assigned is arbitrary, unfair and unjust.

During the pendency of the writ petition before this Hon'ble High Court, the selection process in terms of Adv. No.-01/2019 may kindly be stayed.

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to move the competent Court having appropriate jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

