

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28027 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2023 Thana- PIPRASI District- West Champaran

Ravi Yadav @ Ravi Pratap S/O Kanhaiya Yadav R/O Village- Mani Kaura
Khas, P.S.- Kotwali Padrauna, Dist.- Kushi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kr Singh No. 1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 15-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a)
of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the allegation
is of recovery of 4.125 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of
the seized motorcycle and he came to be implicated based on
confessional statement of Rameshwar Yadav in police custody,
which does not have any evidentiary value.



5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Shri Parmod Kumar, the learned Special Judge Excise, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Piprasi P. S. Case No.64 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail application shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

