

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26738 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2024 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

Barkat Ansari son of Md. Rashid Ansari Resident of village- Tetrahi ward no
02, Amha PS-Pipra District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shahid Aqubal, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Matloob Rab, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 27-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 10 of 2024 dated
09.01.2024 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 1984.230 litres of
illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the Hywa Truck,
Bolero and a Pick-Up van.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the
conscious possession of the petitioner. The apprehended co-



accused person disclosed the name of the petitioner. The co-accused has already been granted bail vide order dated 28.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 19991 of 2024 by this Bench. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Supaul in connection with Excise S.T. No. 274 of 2024 arising out of Raghapur P.S. Case No. 10 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

annpurna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

