

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9410 of 2020

-
1. Kumar Vibhushan Son of Late Yadu Prasad resident of Mohalla - Jai Prakash Nagar, P.S. - K. Hat, District - Purnea at present working as clerk at Purnea College, Purnea.
 2. Sunil Kumar Ray, Son of Sri Fuleshwar Ray, resident of Mohalla - Jai Prakash Nagar, P.S. - K. Hat, District - Purnea at present working as clerk at Purnea College, Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar. Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary Department of Higher Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Vice-Chancellor B.N. Mandal University, Laloo Nagar, Madhepura, Bihar.
4. The Registrar, B.N. Mandal University, Laloo Nagar, Madhepura, Bihar.
5. The Vice-Chancellor, Purnea University, Purnea, Bihar.
6. The Registrar, Purnea University, Purnea, Bihar.
7. The Principal, Purnea Collage, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amit Kumar Anand
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Subhash Chandra Mishra, SC 16
		Mr.Nutan Sahay, Adv.
		Dr.Anand Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-03-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present writ application has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondent authorities to regularize the services of the petitioners who are working on vacant sanctioned post since their date of initial appointment and for continuous working on



sanctioned post and for perennial requirement of works have been made to work as daily wager/or on contract and further for payment in regular scale of pay and for issuance of any other appropriate writ or writs, orders or directions to which the petitioners may be found legally entitled to.

3. Considering the relief/s sought for, the petitioners are directed to file a representation before the Registrar, Purnea University, Purnea, Bihar (respondent no.6) within a period of two weeks from today and the respondent no.6 shall consider the said representation and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. The said order be passed after hearing the petitioners or their counsel.

4. It is needless to say that, if the order is not passed within the stipulated time, the respondent no.6 shall be personally held responsible for the non-compliance of the order of this Court.

5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.03.2024
Transmission Date	N.A.

