

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1169 of 2020

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22155 of 2018

=====

Abhishek Kumar @ Abhishek Jaiswal S/o Sri Sunil Kumar Resident of -
Power House Road, Rajendra Nagar, Begusarai, P.S. Begusarai, District-
Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
2. Mr. Deepak Kumar, The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Uday Singh Kumawat, Principal Secretary, Department of Health, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, The Director in Chief, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Arbind Kumar Verma, The District Officer, Begusarai.
6. Mr Krishna Mohan Verma, The Civil Surgeon, Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Amrendra Kumar Sinha No.1, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, Sr. Advocate (GA-8)
:	Mr. Neeraj Raj, Advocate (AC to GA-8),

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 07-05-2024

The present MJC is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 06.01.2020 passed in CWJC No.22155/2018. Limited direction was submission of representation on behalf of the petitioner and thereafter the concerned authority was required to pass speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation. Whereas the concerned respondents have taken their own time of more than four years in complying order on 03.05.2024. Even the petitioner is not satisfied with the compliance order.



However, scope of contempt petition is only to the extent whether the concerned respondent has complied the directions of this Court to the extent of considering the petitioner’s representation or not?

2. Accordingly, the present MJC contempt petition stands dropped with litigation cost at Rs.5,000/- Cost shall be paid to the petitioner for belated compliance. In fact, the concerned authority has committed contempt in not complying the orders of this Court within the stipulated time. The concerned authority had all sorts of remedy in filing Civil Review, I.A. for extension of time and petition before the Hon’ble Supreme Court. Either of these remedies have been invoked, on the other hand, the concerned authority proceeded to sleep over the matter for four years without there being any explanation.

3. Accordingly, the present MJC No.1169/2020 stands dropped, petitioner is at liberty to assail the order dated 03.05.2024, if he is not satisfied.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	14.05.2024
Transmission Date	N/A

