

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6688 of 2022

=====

Deepa Kumari, wife of late Pranave Kumar Bhaskar, Resident of Flat No. 402, Sunrise Kamla Rekha Apartment, Rai Ram Briksh Path, Nehru Nagar, P.S. Patliputra Colony Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited through the Chairman and Managing Director, General Manager, 17 Jamsedji Tata Road, Mumbai 400020
2. The Chairman and Managing Director Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, 17 Jamsedji Tata Road, Mumbai 400020
3. The General Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, 17 Jamsedji Tata Road, Mumbai 400020
4. The, CGM HR (Comp. Mgmt.), Hindustan Petroleum corporation limited, 17 Jamsedji Tata Road, Mumbai 400020
5. Chief Regional Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Dr no 9-1-10/1, Sebastian Street, S.D. Road, P.B. No. 5, Secunderabad- 500003.
6. Regional Manager, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Dr no 9-1-10/1, Sebastian Street, S.D. Road, P.B. No. 5, Secunderabad- 500003.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, Advocate Ms. Manini Jaiswal, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rabindra Nath Kanth, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 28-11-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 31.03.2021, issued under the signature of CGM HR (Company Management) whereby and whereunder the representation dated 15.01.2022 filed in pursuant to the order of this Court dated 22.12.2021, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 10120 of 2020, came to be rejected.



3. At the outset, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that he has been instructed that the petitioner has approached before the Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi seeking a direction against the defendant nos. 1 to 4 to pay compensation/ damage along with interest.

4. In this view of the matter, a fair submission has been made that once the petitioner is pursuing her remedy before the competent court, it would not be appropriate to pursue two parallel remedies, hence he prays to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to the petitioner to assail the same in the proceeding aforementioned.

5. Learned Advocate for the Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited submits that the petitioner has neither any territorial jurisdiction nor even in merit, the petitioner is entitled to get the relief.

6. Be that as it may, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to adjudicate the matter, since the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with the liberty aforesaid.

7. In view thereof, the present writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach before the competent court where the matter is



pending consideration with the other reliefs also.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

