

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28740 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2024 Thana- RAXAUL District- East Champaran

Ravi Kumar Soni S/o- Bharat Jee Soni @ Bharat Jee Parsad R/o Vill- Siwan,  
Near DAV College, P.S.- Siwan Town, Dist- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharmveer Jha, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR  
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3      13-08-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case  
instituted for the offence under Sections 414, 420, 120B, 468,  
471, 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 489-D/34 of the Indian Penal Code  
and Sections 8, 20(B)(ii)(c) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant got secret  
information that near R.S. Hotel, block road, two persons on a  
scooty with fake currency and narcotics substance are likely to  
come, on that information the informant along with other police



officials reached at that place. It is further alleged that one person apprehended as co-accused Md. Yusuf @ Md. Pappu and on search, total 1.020 kg of Ganja was recovered. The apprehended co-accused disclosed the name of the petitioner that he has also involved in the business of printing counterfeit currency.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has committed no offence. Petitioner has no concern with the seized articles. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. On the basis of suspicion, petitioner has been implicated in this case. He is languishing in judicial custody since 03.02.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the FIR. He submitted that on disclosure made by apprehended co-accused, name of the petitioner has figured in this case and the materials for printing the counterfeit currency were also recovered from the house of the petitioner. He further submitted that petitioner having criminal antecedent. During investigation several witnesses have also supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties



and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands **rejected**.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Arish/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

