

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.933 of 2023

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.1365 of 2014

-
-
1. Kapil Ram Son of Late Mishri Ram Resident of Village-Indo, Police Station-Bhagwanganj, District-Patna.
 2. Arbind Kumar Sinha Son of Hare Nandan Presently residing at Village-Akauna, Silao, District-Nalanda.
 3. Shiodhar Prasad Singh Son of Sri Rampravesh Singh Resident of Vilalge-Jogni Pursa, Police Station-Amnor, District-Saran.
 4. Nand Lal Prasad Son Late Kishori Rout, Resident of Village-Saidpur, Police Station-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
 5. Bishwanath Singh Son of Sri Ramadhar Singh Resident of Village-Danvar, Police Station-Kachhwa, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Pratyaya Amrit, I.A.S., Presently holding the Post of the Additional Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. K. Senthil Kumar, IAS, Presently holding the Post of Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna .
4. Mr. Anand Prasad Presently holding the Post of Officer on Special Duty, Health Department, Government of bihar, Patna.
5. Dr. Niharika Sharan, Director-In-Chief, Health Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Verma(AC to AAG 9)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

5 20-06-2024 The present contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 16.09.2015 passed in LPA No. 1365 of 2014 in the year 2023.



2. The present contempt petition is not maintainable in the light of Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 and Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with order dated 24.07.2023 passed in MJC No. 1840 of 2023 by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Dr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha & Ors. vs. The Union of India & Ors.**

3. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed on the ground of limitation. Petitioners are at liberty to assail the impugned action of the respondents if it is so advised.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

Vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

