

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27374 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2024 Thana- PRANPUR District- Katihar

Kundan Kumar Mandal Son of Darogi Mandal R/o Village- Kabilpur, P.S.-
Pranpur, Dist.- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare
For the Informant : Mr.Amrendra Kumar
Mr.Jitendra Kumar Pandey

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 31-07-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. In this case, the petitioner is seeking regular bail
in connection with Pranpur P.S. Case No. 14 of 2024, registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 376, 406,
420, 504/34 of the IPC.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner used to have
physical relation with the informant. Thereafter, the informant
got married to someone else. Further, the petitioner broke down
the married life of the informant by instigating her in-laws. The
petitioner refused to marry her and his family members abused
and assaulted the informant.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He is a person of clean antecedent. He has submitted further that the informant allured the petitioner and made pressure for marriage. The informant refused for her medical examination. The petitioner is under custody since 27.01.2024.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that from perusal of the case diary and materials available on the record, it appears that the petitioner used to have physical relation with the informant for last six years, on the pretext of marriage and eventually, he refused to marry her. The victim, in her statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., has supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege for bail, which is hereby rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

