

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6114 of 2023

=====

Mamta Sharan Wife of Sujeet Kumar Sinha, Resident of NandaniNiwas, Road
No. 2, Magadh Colony, Mohalla- Chandauti, P.S.- Magadh Medical College,
District- Gaya, Bihar PIN- 823001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, District Board, Gaya, Bihar.
4. The District Education Officer, Gaya, Bihar.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gaya, Bihar.
6. The Principal/Head Master, Plus 2 High School, Chakand, Gaya, Bihar.
7. Sh. Ravindra Prasad, Headmaster (Retd), Chakand, Gaya, Bihar.
8. The District Programme Officer (Secondary Education), Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raja Ram Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Binita Singh (SC-28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

State counsel.

2. This writ application has been filed for following
reliefs:-

“(i) To issue direction upon Respondents to pay
salary/arrear of salary of the petitioner from 10-11- 2014 to
29-11-2015 after sanctioning the admissible leave taken
during the period by the petitioner including Maternity,
Medical and other leaves.

(ii) To issue Direction upon Respondents to revise
the pay of the petitioner in accordance with the Resolution
no.8/2013 dated-21-6-2017 issued under Memo no.1632
dated-21-6-2017 of the petitioner at par with similarly



situated teacher of the school and regularization of leave from 30.11.2015 to as on date.

(iii) To issue Direction upon Respondents no. 7 & other erring officials involved in deliberately delaying the matter in DEO Office, for grant of compensation of Rs. 50,000/- for causing mental pain and agony on account of negligence by not releasing the necessary benefit after a period of more than 8 years & also for litigation cost etc.

(iv) To issue Direction upon the Respondent no. 4 & 5 to fix responsibility against official who deliberately delayed this issue.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in this regard, petitioner has already filed representation before concerned respondent n. 6 (Annexure – 11), but till date, no order has been passed on said representation and as such, he requests for disposal of the writ petition with direction to concerned respondent to pass order on the representation filed by the petitioner.

4. In view of limited prayer made on behalf of petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of with direction to respondent no. 6 to dispose of the representation of the petitioner (Annexure – 11) in accordance with law by a reasoned and speaking order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U			
---	--	--	--

