

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25601 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-789 Year-2023 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Rahul Sahani SON OF Late Rambhu Sahani Village- Gayatri Nagar, Near
Clinic of Dr. Atul Kumar, P.S.- Town Motihari, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Karandeep Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his/her arrest in a
case in connection with Town P.S. Case No. 789 of 2023 dated
13.12.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 25(1-B),a, 26 and
35 of the Arms Act and sections 30(a), 32, 41(1) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 360 ml. of illicit
english liquor and ten live cartridges were recovered from the
Scorpio.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the



conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said vehicle. The apprehended co-accused persons disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on the record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his/her arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Town P.S. Case No. 789 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

